United States Environmenta, Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460						Work Assignm	ent Number: Original Amendment	024	
Work Assignment						· .	Amendment		
Contract Number: EP - C Title of Work Assi	-09-	027 pp	ntract Period se: 4///01 stion Period No			SF Site Name:			
			OCCOST MODE	\(\)	Specify S	ection & Parag	raph of Contrac	et SOW:	
Suggested Source: ARCAD LS Purpose: • Work Assignment Initiation Work Assignment Close-Out						Period of Performance			
 ○ Work Assignment Amendment : Incremental Funding ○ Work Plan Approval 					1 '	From: To: 4/1/89 - 3/31/89			
Comments: See attached Statement of Work.					QA Category (check one) I Enforcement II Standard Setting III Technology Development IV Proof of Concept N/A				
		eport additiona	al accounting a	nd appropria	tions data use	EPA Form 190	0-69A.		
SFO 22 Superfund (Max 2) Accounting and Appropriations Data						Non-S	Superfund		
DCN (Max 6)	Budget/FYs (Max 4)	Appropriatio n Code (Max 6)	Budget / Org/Code (Max 7)	Program Element (Max 9)		Amount	Sites/Project (Max 8)	Cost Org/Code (Max 7)	
2		1							
3									
5							-		
31		A	thorized Wo	rk Assign	ment Ceiling		<u></u>		
Contract Period:					Cost/Fee	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	LOE		
Previously Approved New					0				
This Action					2340				
Total			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
2070								0	
Work Plan / Cost Estimate Approvals									
Contractor WP D				Cost/Fee					
Cumulative Appro				Cost/Fee					
Work Assignment Manager Name William Yelverton					Branch / Mail Code APTB; APTB / E305-01; E305-01 Phone Number (919) 541-9737 (919) 541-9456				
(Signature) (Date)					Phone Number (919) 541-9737; (919) 541-9456 Fax Number				
Branch Chief Name Robert E. Hall, Chief, APTB: Robert E. Hall, Chief, APTB					Branch/Mail Code APTB; APTB / E305-01; E305-01				
Kaji K. Szyratam 3/11/19					Phone Number (919) 541-2477; (919) 541-2477				
(Signature) (Date)					Fax Number Branch/Mail Code /				
Project Officer Name Diagra L Prince 3/30/09					Phone Number 9/9-54/-2708				
(Signature) (Date)					Fax Number 9/9-541-/536				
						ranch/Mail Code C Pob			
1) -1 -1 -1					Phone Number	Phone Number 5/3 - 487 - 2094			
(Signature) (Date)					Fax Number	5/3	- 487-21	109	
Contractor Ackn	owledgement (of Re ceipt and	Approval of W	orkplan (Sig	nature and Titi	e) Date			

Statement of Work For WA.0-24 Improving EPA's CUECost Model

Coal Utility Environmental Cost (CUECost) is an engineering economic decision support tool developed by the EPA which can be used for estimating cost of air pollution control on coal-fired utility boilers. CUECost is an Excel based tool, which provides budgetary rough-order-of-magnitude (ROM) or "desktop" engineering cost estimates for installing additional pollution controls on existing pulverized coal-fired power plant boilers, larger than 100MW in size. The current version of CUECost includes an array of combustion modification and end-of-pipe control technologies for reducing SO₂, NOx, PM, and mercury (Hg) emissions. Recent upgrades to CUECost have built "learning-by-doing" or endogenous technological learning into the model to enable analysts to capture the impact of learning on the future cost of controls. Various utility boiler specific parameters such as firing type, boiler size, existing controls, and coal-type can be specified to estimate retrofit cost of controls for a particular boiler. Policy makers, analysts and energy modelers can use it to analyze cost-effectiveness of various options to install criteria air pollution control equipment on existing utility boilers.

The latest version of the CUECost (version 5.0) expands the model's previous capabilities to include advanced coal-fired power generation technologies such as supercritical and ultra-supercritical boilers, oxy-fuel combustion, integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) plants, and end-of-pipe CO₂ capture technologies such as the amine process. The User's Manual accompanying CUECost has also been updated to reflect these changes.

In preparation for public release and further development of CUECost, the contractor shall perform the following tasks:

- **Task 1.** The contractor shall work to verify and refine model data regarding Research Triangle Institute's (RTI) dry solid sorbent process, and pollution controls for controls for IGCC plants. Completion of this task shall be communicated in the form of a technical memorandum to the WAM.
- Task 2. Upon completion of Task 1 the contractor shall assist in identifying appropriate industry models and published data sources against which to calibrate the latest version of CUECost. The contractor shall then calibrate CUECost in accordance with data acquired from these sources. Successful calibration will achieved when returned values are within +/- 30% of those predicted by other the identified sources, and the work completed shall be documented in a technical memorandum to the WAM.
- **Task 3.** The contractor shall assist in identifying appropriate experts and stakeholders to "beta test" and review the model and accompanying user's manual. The results of this

search shall be presented, discussed and approved in a meeting attended by the WAM and other selected EPA personnel.

- Task 4. The contractor shall complete the preparation and mailing of materials to the selected reviewers/testers. EPA will provide the contractor with the updated version of the CUECost workbook and accompanying user's manual, as well as the conditions for one "test case". All reviewers/testers shall be requested to first run the "test case" and to report the results to ensure integrity of their copy of the model.
- **Task 5.** The contractor shall collect written feedback from each reviewer within one month of mailing of the review material. The feedback shall include:
 - a. The results of the "test case" (as previously described)
 - b. An evaluation of the results produced by CUECost, for various combinations of controls and boiler options.
 - c. Any inconsistencies or bugs found by the reviewers/testers.
 - d. Any other comment which may aid eventual release of the model and its documentation.

This information shall be documented in written report to the WAM.

- **Task 6.** The contractor shall organize a conference call between the WAM and other relevant personnel and the reviewers. The purpose of this call will be to discuss the review, response to reviewer's comments, and to receive oral feed back of the testing of CUECost. Within one week after the conference call the contractor shall prepare, and submit to the WAM, a written summary of the comments and discussion from the conference call.
- Task 7. The contractor shall assist with the development of an EPA report of subject matter to be predetermined at a later date by the WAM, other EPA personnel, and contractor support. This report will utilize the CUECost "beta version" under review. The development of this report will likely result in future publications and presentations for which some travel, with prior approval, may be involved.
- Task 8. The contractor shall utilize the most recent version of CUECost as a platform for future development of a model version designed for a specific industrial sector (i.e. Pulp & Paper, Iron & Steel, Refineries, etc.) to be selected by the WAM and other relevant personnel. A literature survey shall be conducted to identify the technical and economic data required to develop such a model. Attendance trelevant technical meetings, symposiums, workshops, etc. may be necessary to accumulate desired information; thus some travel, with prior approval, may be involved. This information, along with a suggested plan of action for development of the new model, shall be communicated to the WAM in a technical memorandum.
- Task 9. Upon approval of the development plan, a "beta version" of the new sector version of CUECost (of name to be determined at a later date) shall be developed. The progress of this task shall be communicated to the WAM and relevant personnel in at minimum monthly meeting updates. Any travel to relevant technical meetings,

workshops, etc. may be beneficial to the completion of this task and may be involved with prior approval. The completed version shall be presented to the WAM and other relevant personnel for review.

The contractor shall perform the activities described in the above mentioned tasks in accordance with the QAPP entitled "Improving EPA's CUECost Model", dated 3/11/2008. If the scope of work has changed significantly from that described in the ARCADIS Work Assignment 4-25, the contractor shall revise the QAPP and work involving environmental data shall not commence until it has received official approval from the EPA Quality Assurance Staff. The contractor shall comply with all requirements as delineated on the "Quality Assurance Review Form" included with this extramural action.

Deliverables

Task 1	Technical Memorandum	April 30, 2009
Task 2	Technical Memorandum	May 29, 2009
Task 3	Discussion Meeting	June 12, 2009
Task 4	Review Materials Mailed	June 26, 2009
Task 5	Written Report	August 7, 2009
Task 6	Conference Call Summary	August 21, 2009
Task 7	EPA Report	August 28, 2009
Task 8	Technical Memorandum	October 31, 2009
Task 9	CUECost Sector "Beta" Version	February 15, 2010